

Materials for

CULTURE, RIGHTS, AND EQUALITY: THE MULTICULTURALISM DEBATE IN COMPARTIVE PERSPECTIVE (461HF)

FALL 2002

Volume 2

Professor Ayelet Shachar Faculty of Law University of Toronto

Storage

KE 4395 .A7S34 2002 v.2 c.1 materials reproduced herein are solely for the educational use of students at the University of Toronto only, and are not for commercial sale or use.

SEP - 5 2002

Materials for

CULTURE, RIGHTS, AND EQUALITY: THE MULTICULTURALISM DEBATE IN COMPARTIVE PERSPECTIVE (461HF)

FALL 2002

Volume 2

Professor Ayelet Shachar Faculty of Law University of Toronto

The materials reproduced herein are solely for the educational use of students at the University of Toronto only, and are not for commercial sale or use.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2018 with funding from University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/materialsforcult02shac

CULTURE, RIGHTS, AND EQUALITY: THE MULTICULTURALISM DEBATE IN COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE (461HF)

Professor Ayelet Shachar Three Credits; Fall 2002

Perspective Course; Extended Paper Option Class meeting: Thursday 4:10-6:00; Room TBA

Office Hours: Friday 12:00-1:00; Office: FA 310; Phone: 978-1620

E-mail: ayelet.shachar@utoronto.ca

How should we think about the rights of minority groups in diverse societies? This course looks to political theory and legal practice in democratic polities around the globe in an attempt to identify morally defensible and politically viable answers to this question. Attention will focus upon the contemporary understanding of multiculturalism as it refers to a wide range of public policy measures designed to facilitate minority groups' practices and norms. For example, members of specific groups may receive exemptions from general laws or may seek a degree of autonomous jurisdiction over their internal affairs. The course will consist of three parts. The first part will explore the philosophical, political, and legal foundations of multiculturalism as a theory of differentiated citizenship. The second part will examine specific public policy arenas (such as education, family law, and criminal law) in which minority communities seek recognition and accommodation of their distinctive traditions and ways of life. The discussion will evaluate the potentially negative effects of multicultural policies on intragroup power hierarchies and inter-community relations. Examples will be drawn from the recent experiences of diverse societies in different regions of the world. The third and final part of the course will explore innovative institutional designs aimed at reducing the tension between the accommodation of cultural differences and the protection of individual rights. Taken together, the three parts of the course will address current debates about the most suitable standards for creating, sustaining and rearranging the interactions between the group, the state, and the individual.

Evaluation:

- <u>Class participation (10%)</u>: Class meetings will be organized around a discussion of each week's readings. Class participation includes attendance, substantive participation in weekly discussions, and one in-class presentation of a comment paper (see below).
- A five-page comment paper (20%): The comment paper will be a critical assessment of one or more of the sources on the reading list. Comment papers are due at 4 p.m. on the Friday before the readings are scheduled to be discussed. Each student is expected to use the five-page comment paper to illuminate an important question in the reading or to develop their own coherent argument based on the readings. The submission of the comment paper will be accompanied by a short in-class presentation of the paper. Students must sign up for the oral presentation of one of their comment papers ahead of time, during the second meeting of the seminar.

• A final (approx. 20-25 page) research paper (70%): The final paper's topic must be pre-approved by the instructor. A one-page outline of your paper must be turned by 4:00 p.m., Thursday, November 14. The final paper is due by Friday, 4:00 p.m., December 19, 2002 (Records Office).

Required Reading Materials:

Reading material will draw on leading Canadian, American, and comparative case law and legislation, as well as contemporary political theory literature. The reading material is assembled in "Culture, Rights, and Equality: The Multiculturalism Debate in Comparative Perspective" *Course Packet* (CP), edited by Ayelet Shachar (University of Toronto Faculty of Law, 2002). The CP is available for purchase at the University of Toronto Faculty of Law Bookstore. The CP includes all the reading items but those drawn from the following two books:

Will Kymlicka and Wayne Norman, editors, *Citizenship in Diverse Societies* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000).

Ayelet Shachar, *Multicultural Jurisdictions: Cultural Differences and Women's Rights* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001).

The two books are available for purchase at the University of Toronto Faculty of Law Bookstore. Additional copies have been placed on short-term reserve at the Bora Laskin Law Library.

I. FOUNDATIONS

Week One: Introduction

Objectives and design of the course.

Course requirements.

Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, s. 27, Schedule B, Constitution Act, 1982.

Canadian Multiculturalism Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. 24 (4th Supp.).

2-6

Week Two: Citizenship and Group-Differentiated Rights

Will Kymlicka, *Multicultural Citizenship: A Liberal Theory of Minority Rights* (Oxford: $\chi - 24$ Clarendon Press, 1995), pp. 10-44.

Ayelet Shachar, Multicultural Jurisdictions: Cultural Differences and Women's Rights (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001), pp. 17-44.

MCJ

Week Three: Law and Diversity

Agostini v. Felton, 117 S. Ct. 1997 (1997).

Professor Shachar will deliver the first Robert F. Harney Lecture in the 2002-2003 *Ethnic, Immigration, and Pluralism Series* (Munk Centre, Room 108N). Class participants must attend the lecture. A reception will follow the lecture.

Week Four: Defining "Culture," "Identity," and the Limits of Toleration

Martha Minow, "Identities," Vol. 3 Yale Journal of Law and the Humanities 97

(1991).			· ~
R v. Van der Peet [1996] 2 S.C.	R. 507.		43 - 47
	ticulturalism: Cultural Diversity and Politiversity Press, 2000), pp. 140-158.	ical Theory,	48 - 64
II. ILLUSTRATIONS: CO	NTESTED SOCIAL AND LEGAL ARENA	\S	
Week Five: Freedom From an	nd Of Religion		
R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd. [198	85] 1 S.C.R. 295.		65 - 30
Adler et. al. v. The Queen in rig	ht of Ontario et. al. [1996] 3 S.C.R. 609.		4-3
	on and Religious Schooling," in <i>Citizenship</i> d Wayne Norman (Oxford: Oxford Univers		CDS
Ed Morgan, "Religious Equality (2001).	Comes to Toronto Education," Vol. 32 Or	rbit 72	74-76
"Hands Up for Vouchers," The	Economist, February 23, 2002, 13.		77-79
Everson v. Board of Education	of Ewing Township, 330 U.S. 1 (1947).		80-83
Lee v. Weisman, 505 U.S. 577 (1992).		84-87
The German Classroom Crucifi	xion Case, 1 BvR 1087/91 (1995).		83 - 89
Zoberst v. Catalina Foothills Sc	chool District, 509 U.S. 1 (1993).		90-91

90-91

92-96

25-42

Week Six: Personal and Customary Systems of Law

Ayelet Shachar, Multicultural Jurisdictions: Cultural Differences and Women's Rights (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001), pp. 63-87.	MG 7-109
	7-109
Kiri Singh, "Obstacles to Women's Rights in India," in <i>Human Rights of Women:</i> National and International Perspectives, ed. Rebecca J. Cook (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1994), pp. 375-396.	. 0
Frances Raday, "Israel – The Incorporation of Religious Patriarchy in a Modern State," Vol. 4 International Review of Comparative Public Policy 209 (1992).	9-117
Mahmood Mamdani, Citizen and Subject: Contemporary Africa and the Legacy of Late Colonialism (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1996), pp. 109-137.	4- 133
Suad Joseph, "Women Between Nation and State in Lebanon," in <i>Between Woman and Nation: Nationalisms, Transnational Feminisms, and the State</i> , ed. Caren Kaplan, Norma Alarcón, and Minoo Moallem (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1999), pp. 162-181.	04-142
Week Seven: Indigenous Peoples	
Canada, Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, <i>Report</i> , Vol. 4 <i>Perspectives and Realities</i> (Ottawa: Queen's Printer,1996), pp. 28-53.	4- 156
Darlene M. Johnson, "Native Rights as Collective Rights: A Question of Group Self-Preservation," Vol. 2 Canadian Journal of Law and Jurisprudence 19 (1989).	4-165
John Borrows, "'Landed' Citizenship: Narratives of Aboriginal Political Participation," in <i>Citizenship in Diverse Societies</i> , ed. Will Kymlicka and Wayne Norman (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000), pp. 326-342.	CD5
Week Eight: Child-Parent-Community-State (Education, Linguistic Rights; Medical Decisions)	
Wisconsin v. Yoder, 406 U.S. 205 (1972).	160-168
Board of Education of Kiryas Joel Village School District v. Grumet, 512 U.S. 687 (1994).	169-174
Jeff Spinner-Halev, "Extending Diversity: Religion in Public and Private Education," in <i>Citizenship in Diverse Societies</i> , ed. Will Kymlicka and Wayne Norman (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000), pp. 68-95.	CPS
Mahe v. Alberta [1990] 1 S.C.R. 342.	165-17
Arsenault-Cameron v. Prince Edward Island [2000] 1 S.C.R 3.	180-18

Pierre A. Coulombe, "Citizenship and Official Bilingualism in Canada," in <i>Citizenship Diverse Societies</i> , ed. Will Kymlicka and Wayne Norman (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000), pp. 273-293.	in CDS
B(R) v. Children's Aid Society of Metropolitan Toronto [1995] S.C.R. 313.	190-192
Re J. (An Infant): B and B v. Director General of Social Welfare [1996] 2 N.Z.L.R. 134	4. 193-199
Dawn Walton, "Teenager with Leukemia to fight Transfusion Moves," <i>The Globe and Mail</i> , February 23, 2002.	200-204
Alberta (Director of Child Welfare) v. H. (B.) [2002] Alta. Prov. Ct., N21619, March 1 2002.	5, 205-233
Joseph Goldstein, "Medical Care for the Child at Risk: On State Supervention of Paren Autonomy" Vol. 86 <i>Yale Law Journal</i> 645 (1977).	ital 24-247
Week Nine: Respect for Differences vs. Women's Rights	
Santa Clara Pueblo v. Martinez, 436 U.S. 49 (1978).	248 - 254
Native Women's Association of Canada v. Canada [1994] 3 S.C.R. 627.	255-260
Marie-Aimee Helie-Lucas, "The Preferential Symbol for Islamic Identity: Women in Muslim Personal Laws" in <i>Identity Politics & Women</i> , Valentine M. Moghadam ed. (Boulder: Westview Press, 1994), pp. 391-407.	261-269
Susan Moller Okin, <i>Is Multiculturalism Bad for Women?</i> ed. Joshua Cohen, Matthew Howard, and Martha C. Nussbaum (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1999), pp. 924.	270-278
Ayelet Shachar, Multicultural Jurisdictions: Cultural Differences and Women's Rights (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001), pp. 45-62.	MCS
Week Ten: Reading Period	
A one-page outline of your final paper and preliminary bibliography is due by 4:00 p.m. Thursday, November 14.	1.,
Week Eleven: Family Law (Marriage; Divorce; Custody; Visitation)	
David L. Chambers, "Civilizing the Natives: Marriage in Post-Apartheid South Africa, Vol. 129 <i>Daedalus</i> 101 (2000).	" 279-291
Samia Bano, "Muslim and South Asian Women: Customary Law and Citizenship in Britain," in Women, Citizenship, and Difference, ed. Nira Yuval-Davis and Pnina	292-299

Britain," in *Women, Citizenship, and Difference*, ed. Nira Yuval-Davis and Pnina Werbner (London: Zed Books, 1999), pp. 162-177.

Linda J. Lacey, "Liberal Thought and Religion in Custody and Visitation Cases," in <i>Law and Religion: A Critical Anthology</i> , ed. Stephen M. Feldman (New York: NYU Press, 2000), pp. 411-424.	300-313
Kimberly Van De Perre v. Theodore Edwards [2001] SSC 60 (File No. 27897).	314-330
III. INSTITUTIONAL ATTEMPTS TO REDUCE THE TENSION BETWEEN ACCOMMODATING DIFFERENCES AND RESPECTING RIGHTS	
Week Twelve: ADR; Democratic Inclusion; Political Representation; International Law	
R. v. Moses (1992), 71 CCC (3d) 347 (Y.T. Terr. Ct.).	331 - 239
Jennifer J. Llewellyn, "Dealing with the Legacy of Native Residential School Abuse in Canada: Litigation, ADR, and Restorative Justice" 52 <i>University of Toronto Law Journal</i> 253 (2002).	340 - 357
Donald L. Horowitz, "Democracy in Divided Societies," in <i>Nationalism, Ethnic Conflict, and Democracy</i> , ed. Larry Diamond and Marc F. Plattner (Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press, 1994), pp. 35-55.	359-368
Melissa S. Williams, Voice, Trust, and Memory: Marginalized Groups and the Failing of Liberal Representation (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1998), pp. 176-202.	369 - 382
S. James Anaya, "The Capacity of International Law to Advance Ethnic or Nationality Rights Claims," Vol. 75 <i>Iowa Law Review</i> 837 (1990).	363-387
Sandra Lovelace v. Canada, Report of the UN Human Rights Committee, GAOR, 36 th Session, Supplement No. 40 (A/36/40), pp. 166-175.	38 3 - 390
Week Thirteen: Joint Governance Regimes	
Nisga'a Final Agreement, signed on behalf of the Nisga'a Nation and Her Majesty in right of British Columbia on April 27, 1999 and on behalf of Her Majesty in right of Canada on May 4, 1999 and laid before the House of Commons on October 19, 1999, at paras. 39-40, 75-107.	391-407
Ayelet Shachar, Multicultural Jurisdictions: Cultural Differences and Women's Rights (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001), pp. 88-165.	MCJ
Patrick Macklem and Ed Morgan, "Indigenous Rights in the Inter-American System: The Amicus Brief of the Assembly of First Nations in Awas Tingi v. Republic of Nicaragua," Vol. 22 Human Rights Quarterly 562 (2000).	400 - 416

Vicki C. Jackson, "Citizenship and Federalism," in *Citizenship Today: Global Perspectives and Practices*, ed. T. Alexander Aleinikoff and Douglas Klusmeyer (Washington DC: Brookings Institution Press, 2001), pp. 127-182.

417-430

IV. THE MULTICULTURALISM DEBATE REVISITED

Week Fourteen: Do We Need Cultural Rights After All?

Brian Barry, Culture and Equality: An Egalitarian Critique of Multiculturalism (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2001), pp. 19-54.

431 - 449

Chandran Kukathas, "Liberalism and Multiculturalism: The Politics of Indifference" Vol. 26 *Political Theory* 686 (1998).

450 - 456

Ayelet Shachar, "Two Critiques of Multiculturalism," Vol. 23 Cardozo Law Review 101 (2001).

454-504

